Adsorption and Destruction of the G-Series Nerve Agent Simulant Dimethyl Methylphosphonate on Zinc Oxide
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ABSTRACT: Organophosphorus chemical warfare agents (CWAs) are extremely toxic compounds that are nominally mitigated with gas mask filtration employing metal oxide impregnated activated carbon filtration material. To develop more effective sorbents, it is important to understand the surface chemistry between these organophosphorous compounds and the individual components that make up these filtration materials. In this study, density functional theory (DFT) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) were employed to investigate the adsorption and decomposition mechanisms between a sarin simulant molecule, dimethyl methylphosphonate (DMMP), and zinc oxide, which is a component found in current filtration materials. Theoretical calculations show that DMMP readily adsorbs to a pristine and hydroxylated ZnO (1010) surface with average adsorption energies of 132 and 65 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. Experimental diffuse reflectance fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (DRIFTS) reveals that ZnO adsorbs water and readily hydroxylates under ambient conditions, which can facilitate adsorption through hydrogen bonding of the P=O to ZnO surface hydroxyls. FTIR gas phase analysis also reveals that DMMP decomposes in the presence of ZnO nanoparticles (NPs) to produce methanol at room temperature. Assuming a fully hydroxylated surface of ZnO, DFT calculations reveal several plausible mechanisms for DMMP decomposition to form methanol with an activation energy barrier of 99.6 kJ mol⁻¹. On the basis of this energy barrier to decompose DMMP, a turnover frequency (TOF) of only 3.5 × 10⁻⁷ s⁻¹ is calculated assuming full coverage of DMMP on the ZnO nanoparticles tested. This value is qualitatively consistent with experimental results.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Discovery and design of new filter materials for advanced filter technologies requires a fundamental understanding of how these materials interact with pollutants and toxins. A primary barrier impeding both advancement of our knowledge and the search for improved filter materials for adsorption and destruction of chemical warfare agents (CWA) is their high toxicity. Experiments involving these compounds are usually carried out in specially equipped facilities. To fill the gaps in the understanding of CWA interactions with existing and potential filter materials, actual toxins in many laboratory experiments are replaced with less hazardous simulants.

We employed this approach by studying the surface interactions of an organophosphorus CWA simulant dimethyl methylphosphonate (DMMP, Figures 1 and 2a) with zinc oxide, which is one of the components of the ASZM-TEDA carbon-based filter material used in gas masks. DMMP is a CWA simulant commonly employed to study the adsorption interactions of G-series nerve agents such as sarin, which is a notorious toxic CWA frequently used in chemical attacks. DMMP has certain structural similarities to the G-series nerve agents shown in Figure 1. Most notably, all of the structures contain the P=O bond, which is the primary mode used to bind to metal oxides.

Here, we report results of our theoretical and experimental study of DMMP adsorption and decomposition on ZnO surfaces. Despite many experimental attempts to study the interaction of DMMP with metal oxides, very little is known about mechanisms that govern decomposition of DMMP and the role of surface morphology and electronic properties on these processes. It is commonly accepted that DMMP initially interacts with an oxide surface through its phosphoryl oxygen and forms a bond with one of the Lewis acid sites on the surface (e.g., an under-coordinated metal atom), whereas breaking of a P–OCH₃ bond through
an electrophilic or nucleophilic attack involving surface hydroxyls is usually invoked as the main decomposition mechanism of DMMP.\textsuperscript{18,19} Methanol, dimethyl ether, and surface methoxy groups are among the main products of DMMP decomposition on oxide surfaces at room temperature. It has also been shown that DMMP decomposition on metal oxides at elevated temperatures leads to additional products including formaldehyde, methane, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, and hydrogen.\textsuperscript{4,6,10,11,21} The role of surface hydroxyls in DMMP adsorption and decomposition on MoO\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{12} (MoO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} clusters,\textsuperscript{13} zirconium hydroxide,\textsuperscript{14,22} and zirconium-based metal organic frameworks\textsuperscript{15} has been recently studied using various experimental techniques and DFT modeling. We have also explored the interaction and reaction products of DMMP with metal oxide surfaces including MoO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{16} and CuO\textsuperscript{17} by ambient pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (APXPS), diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS), and DFT modeling. These studies show that reaction pathways are, not surprisingly, dependent on oxide type, and thus CuO facilitates cleavage of PO\textsubscript{−}CH\textsubscript{3}, P\textsubscript{−}OCH\textsubscript{3} and P\textsubscript{−}CH\textsubscript{3} bonds, while MoO\textsubscript{2} proceeds mainly through breaking of PO\textsubscript{−}CH\textsubscript{3} and P\textsubscript{−}OCH\textsubscript{3} bonds.

ZnO surface chemistry has been of significant interest because of its uses in catalytic processes such as the water gas shift reaction\textsuperscript{23–26} and as a toxic gas sensor material.\textsuperscript{27–30} In particular, Yoo et al. has investigated the sensing properties of Al-doped ZnO nanoparticles toward DMMP and finds that Al doped ZnO exhibits high sensitivity (~100 ppb) mainly due to the oxygen vacancies created by the Al\textsuperscript{3+} dopant.\textsuperscript{31} In addition, ZnO based materials have also shown high selectivity toward DMMP relative to other gases such as NH\textsubscript{3}, CO, and organic compounds (e.g., acetone and ethanol).\textsuperscript{28,30} Zinc oxide has also generated interest as a sorbent/decontaminant material to a variety of CWAs including sarin\textsuperscript{31} and mustard gas.\textsuperscript{32} Other studies also revealed high activity of ZnO nanoparticles toward adsorption and decomposition of mustard agent simulants, in solutions such as isopropanol, acetone, and n-hexane.\textsuperscript{33,34} Nevertheless, there are numerous open questions regarding mechanisms of DMMP adsorption and decomposition on ZnO.

In this work, DFT modeling was used to explore mechanisms, energetics, and product vibrational frequencies for DMMP adsorption and degradation on pristine and hydroxylated ZnO (1010) surfaces. DRIFTS of adsorbed species and FTIR headspace product measurements were performed on ZnO nanoparticles to compare with the computational results.

2. METHODS

2.1. DFT Modeling. Solid state periodic calculations were performed by density functional theory (DFT) with PBE\textsuperscript{35} and projector augmented-wave (PAW)\textsuperscript{36} pseudopotentials as implemented in the VASP code.\textsuperscript{37–39} In simulating an ideal ZnO wurzite crystal (Figure 2b), we used a 6 × 6 × 6 Monkhorst–Pack k-point mesh with a kinetic energy cutoff of 520 eV. Atomic coordinates and lattice constants were allowed to simultaneously relax without any symmetry constraints. The convergence criterion for electronic steps was set to 10\textsuperscript{−5} eV, and the maximum force acting on any atom was set not to exceed 0.02 eV Å\textsuperscript{−1}. The calculated lattice parameters of the ZnO hexagonal unit cell (Figure 2b), a = b = 3.27 Å and c = 5.25 Å, agree with the experimental lattice vectors\textsuperscript{40} within ~1%.

In modeling adsorption and decomposition reactions on ZnO, we chose the thermodynamically favorable (1010) surface.\textsuperscript{41} In the ZnO surface calculations (Figure 2c), a surface slab was cut from the bulk ZnO structure to form the (1010) surface with adsorbed water molecule; while MoO\textsubscript{2} proceeds mainly through breaking of PO\textsubscript{−}CH\textsubscript{3} and P\textsubscript{−}OCH\textsubscript{3} bonds.
surface to minimize interactions between the supercells in the z-direction and to avoid any significant overlap between wave functions of periodically translated cells. A PBE+D2 functional, which includes corrections for weak van der Waals interactions, was used to calculate adsorption energies. Minimal energy paths in the VASP periodic calculations were obtained with the nudged elastic band method for five intermediate images. Atomic positions were relaxed using conjugate gradient and quasi-Newtonian methods within a force tolerance of 0.05 Å eV⁻¹. Vibrational frequencies were calculated using the finite difference approach.

To study the effect of water on the adsorption and decomposition of DMMP, we employed two models. In the first model (Figure 2d), the supercell contains one water molecule adsorbed on the ZnO (1010) surface. In the second model (Figures 2e and f), the ZnO (1010) surface is covered with a monolayer of water containing intact and dissociated water molecules (see refs 41, 45). Our calculations showed that a single water molecule per supercell (corresponding to only 0.016 water molecules per one surface ZnO moiety), unlike a monolayer of water, does not have any strong effect on the activation energy of DMMP destruction on the ZnO surface. However, the presence of a water molecule opens new decomposition pathways associated with methanol elimination from DMMP. Therefore, we will only consider results obtained using a model with a monolayer of water on ZnO in this work. The results obtained for the model with a single water molecule per supercell and its corresponding discussion can be found in the Supporting Information.

2.2. Experimental Section. 2.2.1. Materials. ZnO nanopowder (99+%, 35–45 nm) from US Research Nanomaterials (abbreviation US Nano) and ZnO nanopowder (<100 nm particle size) from Sigma-Aldrich (abbreviation Sigma) were used for these analyses. Dimethyl methylphosphonate (DMMP) from Sigma-Aldrich (abbreviation Sigma) was used as received. DMMP typically interacts with one of the under-coordinated surface metal atoms, which are Zn atoms with a 2.2.2. Characterization of ZnO Nanoparticles. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed with a Bruker D8 diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation. XRD patterns of both ZnO nanoparticles (NPs) identified that they are hexagonal with a wurtzite structure. A Le Bail refinement determined the lattice constants for both ZnO NPs using literature ZnO hexagonal wurtzite as a reference (JCPDF 01-089-7102). The XRD patterns and lattice constants determined from the refinement can be found in the Supporting Information (Figure S1). The experimentally determined lattice constants were in excellent agreement (within 1%) of our DFT calculated lattice parameters (refer to SI).

Thermogravimetric analysis coupled with mass spectrometry (TGA-MS) measurements were made using a TA Instruments SDT-Q600 and Discovery Mass spectrometer. For each run, 10 mg of ZnO NPs was used and heated to 1273 K at a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹ under 100 mL min⁻¹ of flowing Ar. A Thermo Scientific Smart iTX accessory with a diamond ATR was used to acquire FTIR spectra of the ZnO nanopowders. The resulting spectra are an average of 50 scans at 4 cm⁻¹ resolution using a deuterated triglycine sulfate (DTGS) detector.

2.2.3. DMMP Adsorption/Decomposition on ZnO. A Harrick Scientific Praying Mantis DRA optical accessory was used with an associated Harrick Scientific high temperature reaction chamber HVC-DRP-S and temperature controller unit (110 V, ATC-024-3) for the diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) measurements. In a typical experiment, ZnO nanopowder was loosely packed in an environmental DRIFTS cell and heated to 773 K in O₂ for 1 h to remove adsorbed water and carbonates from the ZnO nanoparticles. The ZnO nanoparticles were then cooled to room temperature in flowing O₂. The DMMP exposure was performed using a saturator cell kept at 286 K with argon as the carrier gas. A total flow rate of 30 mL min⁻¹ was used with an estimated DMMP concentration of 75 ppm. A second test was performed by exposing both ZnO nanopowders to DMMP without any heat pretreatment to compare the differences in how DMMP adsorbs depending on the amount of water and carbonates initially adsorbed on the ZnO particles. The same flow rate and concentration of DMMP were used for both tests. A schematic of the DMMP delivery system can be found in the SI (Figure S5). All DRIFTS spectra were collected at 4 cm⁻¹ resolution, with Happ-Genzel apodization, and atmospheric suppression on a Nicolet iS-50R spectrometer equipped with a liquid N₂ cooled mercury cadmium telluride (MCT-A) detector. Spectra were averaged over 15 s (25 scans) using the ZnO nanoparticles as the reference spectrum unless noted.

Headspace analysis was performed using transmission FTIR spectroscopy to determine if volatile decomposition products formed when DMMP was exposed to ZnO NPs. A total of 100 mg of ZnO NPs was placed in a Harrick Scientific 10 cm gas cell (49.09 cm³ volume) under a N₂ atmosphere. After sealing the cell, 10 μL of DMMP was injected into the cell through a rubber septum. FTIR spectra were then collected (0.5 cm⁻¹ resolution) of the headspace above the powder at 10 min intervals on a Nicolet 6700 spectrometer equipped with an MCT-A detector; spectra were averaged over 64 scans followed by a 9.25 min delay.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Adsorption of DMMP on ZnO and the Effect of Water and Hydroxyls. 3.1.1. Modeling of DMMP Adsorption on a Pristine and Hydroxylated ZnO (1010) Surface. DMMP typically interacts with one of the under-coordinated surface metal atoms, which are Zn atoms with a reduced coordination number relative to the Zn atoms in the bulk (see SI for more details). Additionally, DMMP can adsorb to water and hydroxyls on metal oxide surfaces through its phosphoryl oxygen. In simulating DMMP adsorption on a pristine and hydroxylated ZnO surface, we modeled several adsorption configurations, which are depicted in Figure 3. To compare the results of our DFT modeling and DRIFTS measurements, we calculated vibrational frequencies of a DMMP molecule adsorbed on a pristine and hydroxylated surface as the latter would be the most field relevant. Vibrational frequencies calculated for the most favorable adsorption configurations (i.e., highest adsorption energy) are collected in Table 1 along with experimental data, whereas vibrational frequencies calculated for all other configurations are shown in the Supporting Information Table S1.

For DMMP adsorbed on a pristine ZnO surface, our modeling revealed several adsorption configurations (Figure 3a) with relatively close adsorption energies (125–142 KJ mol⁻¹). Configuration 1 (Figure 3a) has the highest adsorption energy (~142.0 KJ mol⁻¹) on a pristine surface. For all adsorption configurations of DMMP on a pristine surface, large red shifts were predicted for the ν(P=O) (~70–90 cm⁻¹) and ν(C=O) (~9–30 cm⁻¹) modes relative to the isolated molecule.
Gas phase DMMP). These calculated shifts are in good agreement with Bermudez’s computational studies of DMMP adsorption on γ-Al₂O₃, where he calculated shifts in the ν(P=O) and ν(C–O) modes of −84 and −32 cm⁻¹, respectively. Our calculations of the DMMP bond distances for the isolated and adsorbed molecule on the ZnO surface revealed an elongation of the P=O and C–O bonds, which explains the red shift in the ν(P=O) and ν(C–O) modes (see Table S1 of SI).

Several possible adsorption configurations of DMMP on a ZnO surface covered with a water monolayer and their corresponding adsorption energies are depicted in Figure 3b. Configuration 6, where DMMP interacts with the surface hydroxyls through its phosphoryl and methoxy oxygen atoms, is the energetically most favorable configuration on a hydroxylated ZnO surface and corresponds to the highest adsorption energy (−71.1 kJ mol⁻¹). In configuration 6, the ν(P=O) mode is red-shifted by 51 cm⁻¹, whereas the ν(C–O) modes are only shifted by 5–10 cm⁻¹ relative to the gas phase. In configuration 7, which is less probable than configuration 6, DMMP interacts with surface water and hydroxyl groups only through its phosphoryl oxygen. In this configuration, the ν(P=O) and ν(C–O) modes are red-shifted relative to gas phase by 72 cm⁻¹ and 9–12 cm⁻¹, respectively (see Table 1).

### 3.1.2. Water Desorption and Adsorption Analysis of ZnO Nanoparticles

We began our experimental study with the water adsorption properties of ZnO, as the calculations showed that the water and hydroxylation state of ZnO drastically change the energetics of DMMP adsorption and the position of the ν(P=O) and ν(C–O) modes. TGA-MS of both as received ZnO NPs (Figure 4) indicate they have adsorbed water and surface carbonate species based on the m/z 18 and m/z 44 ion intensities, respectively, that were measured during heating (393–803 K), which is consistent with the detected weight losses from TGA. The gas phase CO₂ detected is from adsorbed carbonate species that have been described in more detail on a variety of metal oxides including MgO, ZrO₂, and ZnO.14,53,54 The differences in the weight loss percentages and water/CO₂ release temperatures of the two different ZnO nanopowders can be explained by the differences in the particle size.

### Table 1. Vibrational Frequencies (cm⁻¹) of DMMP in the Gas Phase and Adsorbed on a ZnO Surface, Shifts with Respect to Gas Phase DMMP in Parentheses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>ν(P=O)</th>
<th>ν(C–O) (a)</th>
<th>ν(C–O) (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>experiment (heated ZnO)⁷</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gas</td>
<td>1276</td>
<td>1076</td>
<td>1050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Nano ZnO</td>
<td>1220 (−56)</td>
<td>1068 (−8)</td>
<td>1046 (−4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma ZnO</td>
<td>1227 (−49)</td>
<td>1065 (−11)</td>
<td>1041 (−9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma ZnO</td>
<td>1222 (−54)</td>
<td>1067 (−9)</td>
<td>1050 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma ZnO</td>
<td>1235 (−41)</td>
<td>1063 (−13)</td>
<td>1039 (−11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gas</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>1054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO pristine surface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conf. 1</td>
<td>1113 (−92)</td>
<td>1060 (−30)</td>
<td>1033 (−21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conf. 2</td>
<td>1138 (−67)</td>
<td>1077 (−13)</td>
<td>1045 (−9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO surface with water monolayer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conf. 6</td>
<td>1154 (−51)</td>
<td>1080 (−10)</td>
<td>1059 (+5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conf. 7</td>
<td>1132 (−72)</td>
<td>1078 (−12)</td>
<td>1045 (−9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Vibrational frequencies experimentally determined were from DRIFTS spectra of DMMP adsorbed within first 5 min of exposure. With longer exposure, vibrational frequencies begin to align more closely with liquid DMMP from multilayer DMMP adsorption on nanoparticles.
The calculated frequency shifts indicate smaller red shifts in the C−O vibration and the other observable vibrational modes of DRIFTS spectra are shown in Figure 5 and compared with our measured transmission FTIR spectrum of gas phase DMMP, which aligns well with the literature.55 For both ZnO nanoparticles, DMMP strongly adsorbs through the P=O, which is shown by the large redshift of the P=O vibration with respect to gas phase DMMP. The O−CH3 stretching vibration and the other observable vibrational modes of DMMP show no significant shift with respect to gas phase DMMP, which suggests that DMMP has only weak interactions with the ZnO surface through its methoxy moiety. The experimentally observed small red shifts in the C−O stretching (Table 1: 0−13 cm−1) and large shifts in the P=O (41−56 cm−1) of adsorbed DMMP on ZnO are in good agreement with our DFT vibrational frequency shifts of DMMP on the hydroxylated ZnO surface, shown in Table 1. The calculated frequency shifts indicate smaller red shifts in both the P=O and C−O when DMMP adsorbs to ZnO with a water monolayer as compared to a pristine ZnO surface. DRIFTS also shows that the vibrational frequencies of adsorbed DMMP are not strongly affected by preheating (Table 1 and Figure S7), implying that water/hydroxyls should always play an important role while carbonates have little to no influence on the adsorption and decomposition of organophosphonates under ambient conditions.

The decreasing negative peaks of the “free” surface hydroxyls from 3600 to 3750 cm−1 and increasing broad peaks correlated to “bound” surface hydroxyls at ~3400 and 3500 cm−1, with increasing exposure, are also indicative that DMMP is interacting with ZnO surface hydroxyls. Similar spectral features have been observed for DMMP adsorption on silica and alumina surfaces and have been attributed to hydrogen bonding of the P=O and O−CH3 moieties of DMMP to the OH groups present on silica.59−61

The results of DFT computed adsorption energies imply that DMMP should aggressively adsorb to both pristine (~142 kJ mol−1) and hydroxylated (~71 kJ mol−1) ZnO surfaces via its phosphoryl oxygen. Configuration 6 corresponds to the most favorable configuration for DMMP adsorption on a hydroxylated surface where the ν(P=O) mode is red-shifted by 51 cm−1 and consistent with results from DRIFTS (41−56 cm−1). Also, the ν(C−O) modes in configuration 6 are shifted by only 5−10 cm−1, which is in good agreement with the results of the DRIFTS measurements (0−13 cm−1). The experimental results obtained by FTIR spectroscopy, TGA−MS, and theoretical modeling all suggest that DMMP is most likely adsorbed on hydroxylated ZnO and that any subsequent decomposition should be considered in this context.

3.2. Decomposition of DMMP on ZnO. 3.2.1. DFT Modeling of DMMP Decomposition on ZnO (1010) Surface

We began our theoretical study of DMMP decomposition on ZnO by modeling reactions on a pristine surface (see Supporting Information). Although these results represent a simplified model and are not relevant to realistic conditions of our DRIFTS and FTIR experiments, this study serves as a useful step before modeling the reactions on a hydroxylated surface. According to our calculations, decomposition of DMMP on a pristine ZnO surface will likely proceed through a cleavage of the P−OCH3 bond and formation of a surface methoxy group with an overall activation barrier of 170.6 kJ mol−1 and reaction energy of −19.7 kJ mol−1 (Figure S9).

Figure 5. DRIFTS spectra of DMMP adsorbed on heat treated Sigma ZnO (top) and US Nano ZnO (middle) NPs compared to gas phase DMMP spectrum (bottom). DRIFTS spectra of DMMP adsorbed on ZnO are shown after 5, 10, and 20 min of exposure. Arrows indicate increasing or decreasing peak intensity with longer exposure time.
Methanol elimination is known to be the main channel of DMMP hydrolysis on different metal oxides. In addition, previous studies find that methanol is typically the only decomposition product of DMMP observed in an appreciable amount at room temperature. Therefore, in modeling DMMP decomposition on a hydroxylated ZnO surface, we studied only mechanisms involving methanol elimination (Figure 6). We also took into consideration that the standard PBE functional usually tends to underestimate activation barriers of chemical reactions and does not account for vdW interactions. Therefore, we refined energies of the reagent, transition state structures, reaction intermediates, and products depicted in Figure 6 using a hybrid PBE0-D2 functional. Results obtained using the PBE functional are collected in the Supporting Information.

The DMMP adsorption energy on hydroxylated ZnO was recalculated using the PBE0-D2 functional and is ~12 kJ mol$^{-1}$ higher (~83.4 kJ mol$^{-1}$, Figure 6) than the adsorption energy obtained using the PBE-D2 functional (~71.1 kJ mol$^{-1}$, Figure 3, Table 1). Elimination of methanol from DMMP adsorbed on the ZnO surface covered with a monolayer of water can proceed via several channels. The first channel ($\text{A}_1$-$\text{A}_2$) is a one step process involving migration of hydrogen from a surface hydroxyl to a methoxy oxygen of DMMP, which breaks the $\text{P}=$OCH$_3$ bond. The calculated activation barrier and reaction energy of this process are 174.8 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and ~63.3 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively. However, there are more energetically favorable reaction pathways $\text{A}_1$-$\text{A}_3$-$\text{A}_4$ and $\text{A}_1$-$\text{A}_3$-$\text{A}_5$ that proceed through the formation of the reaction intermediate $\text{A}_3$ in which a lone pair of electrons from a surface hydroxyl coordinates to the DMMP to give a 5-fold coordinated phosphorus atom. The reaction step $\text{A}_1$-$\text{A}_5$ has an activation barrier of 99.6 kJ mol$^{-1}$, whereas the intermediate structure $\text{A}_3$ lies 56.0 kJ mol$^{-1}$ higher than $\text{A}_1$.

There are two possible ways to decompose DMMP to form methanol from the intermediate structure $\text{A}_5$. One pathway is shown in the reaction step $\text{A}_5$-$\text{A}_6$, which involves an intramolecular hydrogen transfer and subsequent splitting of the $\text{P}=$OCH$_3$ bond, which requires 48.2 kJ mol$^{-1}$. The alternative channel $\text{A}_1$-$\text{A}_4$ proceeds through an intermolecular hydrogen transfer from the surface water molecule and splitting of the corresponding $\text{P}=$OCH$_3$ bond and only requires 19.8 kJ mol$^{-1}$. We conclude that decomposition of DMMP on a ZnO surface covered with a monolayer of water will proceed through the channel $\text{A}_1$-$\text{A}_3$-$\text{A}_5$ with an overall activation barrier of 99.6 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and reaction energy of ~43.4 kJ mol$^{-1}$.

3.2.2. Gas Phase Analysis of DMMP Catalysis on ZnO. The DRIFTS analysis and DFT modeling suggest that DMMP will adsorb on the surface hydroxyls of the ZnO nanoparticles mainly through the $\text{P}=$O and only weak interactions through its methoxy moieties. DMMP decomposition on the ZnO NPs has also been confirmed by analyzing the headspace of the gas cell containing ZnO NPs in the presence of DMMP using FTIR spectroscopy shown in Figure 7. Decomposition of DMMP into methanol readily occurs on both ZnO nanoparticle samples, which is supported by the presence of the peak at 1033 cm$^{-1}$. The large difference in intensities of the peak at 1033 cm$^{-1}$ is most likely from the differences in the surface areas of the two ZnO nanopowders. Klabunde et al. has found a similar result that nanocrystalline (250–500 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) MgO materials are much more reactive toward organophosphorus compounds compared with their MgO microcrystalline (100–160 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) counterparts, and the DMMP decomposed is directly correlated with the MgO surface area.

Our DFT calculations suggest that methanol will form from cleavage of the $\text{P}=$OCH$_3$ moiety of DMMP and is catalyzed by ZnO surface hydroxyls. Previous studies also suggest that methanol formation can be initiated through $\text{P}=$OCH$_3$ bond cleavage of DMMP. Although DMMP has many potential byproducts (e.g., methanol, dimethyl ether, formaldehyde, CO$_2$, formic acid), methanol was the only decomposition byproduct detected in the headspace. These results are in good agreement with previous studies that find methanol as the primary decomposition product from DMMP on other metal oxides at room temperature. In addition, our calculations find that methanol formation from a ZnO hydroxylated surface (99.6 kJ mol$^{-1}$) is more energetically favorable than DMMP decomposition on a ZnO pristine surface.
coordinated phosphorus atom (Figure 6; Supporting Information), which emphasizes the importance of ZnO surface hydroxyls to decompose DMMP.

4. DISCUSSION

While DFT modeling found that the adsorption energies for DMMP on a pristine ZnO at the under-coordinated Zn atom is ~50−70 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) higher than a fully hydroxylated ZnO surface, this finding is not directly relevant for practical applications because ZnO appears to be hydroxylated under ambient conditions. The hydroxylation of ZnO at extremely low relative humidity (≤0.01%) and ultrahigh vacuum has been observed with ZnO and other metal oxides as well.\(^{55−58}\)

The water desorption we observed from TGA-MS (Figure 4) and rapid rehydroxylation of ZnO nanoparticles after heat treatment observed from DRIFTS (SI Figure S6) provide strong evidence that ZnO is hydroxylated under ambient conditions. Furthermore, we have theoretical and experimental data to support DMMP adsorption on a hydroxylated surface, which shows that the magnitude of the vibrational frequency shifts between adsorbed and unbound DMMP are smaller for the \(\nu(P\equiv O)\) and \(\nu(C\equiv O)\) stretches compared with DMMP adsorbed on pristine ZnO (Table 1, configurations 1 and 6). DRIFTS analysis also reveals signature peaks that correspond to hydrogen bonded DMMP to surface hydroxyls (Figure S5).\(^{19,59,60}\) As our results point to ZnO being in a hydroxylated state under ambient conditions, we will forego any discussion of the calculations performed for DMMP on pristine ZnO (see Supporting Information).

Our DRIFTS studies show that at ambient conditions, DMMP will adsorb through the \(P\equiv O\) moiety bonding interaction to surface hydroxyls, and FTIR gas phase analysis reveals subsequent decomposition to form methanol on ZnO. This is in good agreement with the literature that suggests DMMP initially binds to an under-coordinated Lewis acid site or surface hydroxyl through the \(P\equiv O\) bond.\(^{2,5,7,9,20}\) Many of these studies depict a mechanism where DMMP is bound through its \(P\equiv O\) to a directly under-coordinated metal acid site before it undergoes decomposition to form methanol.\(^{2,6,70}\) However, we have shown from our DFT calculations that DMMP can undergo decomposition on a hydroxylated surface without the \(P\equiv O\) moiety initially bonded to an under-coordinated Zn atom (Figure 6 \(A_1-A_3\)). In addition, we have also shown that this decomposition mechanism on hydroxylated ZnO is more energetically favorable (~70 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) than DMMP decomposition on a pristine ZnO surface where the \(P\equiv O\) moiety of DMMP is directly bound to an under-coordinated Zn atom (see SI Figure S9).

There does not appear to be a universal rule for how DMMP decomposes on oxide surfaces in the presence of water or surface hydroxyls; enhancement or inhibition of DMMP decomposition appears to strongly depend on the oxide and its surface morphology. For instance, Balow et al. found that Zr(OH)\(_4\) nanoparticles decompose DMMP and produce twice as much methanol in a 100% RH environment compared to a dry \(N_2\) environment.\(^{3,4}\) However, no DMMP decomposition (this includes at high temperatures) is observed with SiO\(_2\) even though the primary mode of DMMP binding occurs through the Si–OH groups on the surface.\(^{10,59,60}\) Our results show that elimination of methanol proceeds through nucleophilic substitution in which a lone pair of electrons of a surface hydroxyl coordinates to the DMMP to give a 5-fold coordinated phosphorus atom (Figure 6; \(A_4\)). Although DMMP decomposition is highly dependent on the metal oxide, the calculated activation barrier of 100 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for this process is close to recently reported activation energies of methanol elimination from DMMP on zirconium hydroxide (108 kJ mol\(^{-1}\))\(^{22}\) and zirconium MOFs (85–93 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)).\(^{15}\)

To get a more quantitative perspective, we can estimate the DMMP turnover frequency (TOF) using the calculated activation energy barrier to decompose DMMP into methanol (99.6 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) and the DFT calculated site density (\(A_1\)) on a hydroxylated ZnO (10\(\overline{1}\)0) surface (2.3 × 10\(^{13}\) sites m\(^{-2}\)). Assuming from Figure 6 that the rate dependent step is \(A_1\) to \(A_3\), our DFT studies predict a pre-exponential factor (\(A_0\)) of ~10\(^{11}\) s\(^{-1}\) from \(A_1\) to the transition state (see SI for more details). Using the activation energy barrier for reaction (99.6 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)), the reaction rate constant to form methanol (\(k_\text{rxn}\)) is 3.5 × 10\(^{-7}\) s\(^{-1}\) at room temperature. To calculate the reaction rate (\(R_\text{rxn}\)), we assume a first order reaction that is dependent on the coverage of DMMP on ZnO sites. If all hydroxylated ZnO sites are fully covered with DMMP, we should expect a \(R_\text{rxn} \sim 8 \times 10^{11}\) molecules of DMMP s\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-2}\). The TOF is obtained by normalizing \(R_\text{rxn}\) to the site density (i.e., TOF = \(R_\text{rxn}/\text{site density}\)) to yield a TOF \(\sim 3.5 \times 10^{-7}\) s\(^{-1}\). This TOF is relatively low for metal oxide based heterogeneous catalysts that are typically reported (~10\(^{-4}\) to 10\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)).\(^{71−73}\) However, it is important to recognize that our results assume the temperature is 298 K. Furthermore, at these low temperatures, small uncertainties in the predicted activation energy barrier can be significant in the resulting TOF. For example, if the barrier to form methanol were lowered by only 20 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), then the TOF would increase by 4 orders of magnitude (TOF \(\sim 10^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\)).

Despite the low TOF, we have verified that we can observe this methanol formation experimentally. On the basis of the 100 mg of US Nano ZnO employed in our experiment (surface area of 65 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)), from our kinetics, we calculate a methanol production rate of ~5 × 10\(^{12}\) molecules s\(^{-1}\), assuming DMMP decomposes to form methanol in a 1:1 ratio at room temperature, which is a reasonable assumption based on our proposed mechanism and previous literature.\(^{5,6,70}\) This should give us a methanol concentration of ~45 ppm in the head space of the experiment during 3 h of DMMP exposure, which is well above our detection limit (~5 ppm). This calculation is in good agreement with our experimental observation, which shows significant methanol production during the first 3 h of DMMP adsorption on ZnO.

We note here that theoretical modeling of DMMP adsorption and decomposition was performed only for a single low energy surface facet (10\(\overline{1}\)0) of ZnO, and only one model of surface hydroxylation was considered. Nevertheless, assuming there are no other low energy barrier channels for DMMP decomposition, the low TOF implies that the primary function of ZnO would be as a sorbent rather than as a catalytic material in a practical gas mask situation. In addition, the conclusions obtained on studying DMMP, while providing important insight into adsorbent-oxide chemistry, may not be simply extrapolated to the behavior of sarin and other toxic agents on ZnO, which have to be explicitly explored.
5. CONCLUSIONS

Our combined experimental and theoretical investigations of the adsorptive and catalytic properties of DMMP on ZnO found that ZnO surfaces under ambient conditions will be saturated with a layer of hydroxyls and adsorbed water. FTIR spectroscopic analysis determined that DMMP interacts with ZnO surface hydroxyls and decomposes on ZnO to form methanol with an approximate 100 kJ mol$^{-1}$ barrier. Our theoretical modeling revealed that because of the ZnO surface hydroxylation, DMMP does not bind directly to an undercoordinated Zn atom before decomposing (via cleavage of the P=OCH$_3$ moiety) to form methanol. A theoretical estimate of the DMMP turnover frequency of $\sim 3.5 \times 10^{-7}$ s$^{-1}$ is consistent with the methanol we have observed in the head space of our experiment. Nevertheless, this TOF is relatively low for an application as a CWA decomposition material consistent with the methanol we have observed in the head of a sample. This study provides insight into the development of improved metal oxide based filtration materials that should ideally decompose CWAs in the presence of surface hydroxyls/adsorbed water and exhibit high TOF under ambient conditions.
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