Hierarchical Polyelemental Nanoparticles as Bifunctional Catalysts for Oxygen Evolution and Reduction Reactions
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Efficient electrocatalysts are critical in various clean energy conversion and storage systems. Polyelemental nanomaterials are attractive as multifunctional catalysts due to their wide compositions and synergistic properties. However, controlled synthesis of polyelemental nanomaterials is difficult due to their complex composition. Herein, a one-step synthetic strategy is presented to fabricate a hierarchical polyelemental nanomaterial, which contains ultrasmall precious metal nanoparticles (IrPt, ≈5 nm) anchored on spinel-structure transition metal oxide nanoparticles. The polyelemental nanoparticles serve as excellent bifunctional catalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). The mass catalytic activity of the polyelemental nanoparticles is 7-times higher than that of Pt in ORR and 28-times that of Ir in OER at the same overpotentials, demonstrating the high activity of the bifunctional electrocatalyst. This outstanding performance is attributed to the controlled multiple elemental composition, mixed chemical states, and large electroactive surface area. The hierarchical nanostructure and polyelemental design of these nanoparticles offer a general and powerful alternative material for catalysis, solar cells, and more.

1. Introduction

With growing energy demands and the depletion of fossil fuels, intense research has been conducted on renewable energy conversion and storage systems, such as fuel cells, metal–air batteries, and water electrolysis.[1–6] The oxygen electrode, which includes two reverse reactions, the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER), is an essential component of many of these systems, particularly metal–air batteries.[7–9] However, the sluggish kinetics in the complex four-electron transfer process at the oxygen electrode results in the requirement of a large overpotential, impeding the efficiency of these reactions. Thus, an efficient bifunctional electrocatalyst is of paramount importance to decrease the ORR and OER overpotentials for practical application.

Single-composition catalysts typically show only selective OER or ORR activity due to specific affinity to the oxygen reaction intermediates (\(\text{O}^*, \text{O}^*, \text{OH}^*, \text{OOH}^*\)).[10] For example, Pt and its alloys are generally considered the most efficient ORR catalysts, but show low catalytic activity for OER.[11] Ir-based catalysts are the state-of-the-art OER catalysts, but are less active toward ORR.[12] According to the Sabatier principle, highly efficient oxygen reaction catalysts generally require interactions with the reaction intermediates that are neither too weak nor too strong.[1,10] Therefore, incorporating multiple active oxygen reaction catalysts with different bonding effects, such as Pt, Ir, and other non-precious materials (e.g., transition metal oxides), into a polyelemental catalyst is a desirable approach toward achieving bifunctional catalysts,[13,14] due to the optimized catalyst-reactant interactions for both OER and ORR.

Recently, tremendous efforts have been devoted to the synthesis of nanosized catalyst materials with various structures, such as alloyed, core-shell, hybrid, and porous morphologies, to improve the electroactivity of the catalyst.[15–19] Furthermore, polyelemental nanomaterials are proposed to minimize the precious metal usage and maximize the electroactive surface area.[14,20–23] However, preparing polyelemental nanocatalysts with an optimal structure is challenging due to the complex composition. The different atom
sizes, crystal structures, miscibility, and other intrinsic properties of the components make it difficult to integrate diverse elements into a single polyelemental nanoparticle. In traditional wet chemistry synthesis, polyelemental nanoparticles at thermodynamic phases often show low electroactive surface area and electrocatalytic activity. On the other hand, high-entropy nanoparticles show homogeneous atomic mixing, but fail to concentrate the precious metals at the surface for optimal catalytically active surface area. In addition, agglomeration of the nanocatalyst during voltage sweep can be a severe issue, resulting in poor stability. As a result, it is necessary to develop stable polyelemental nanoparticles with optimized elemental mixing and structure in order to achieve effective bifunctional electrocatalysts for oxygen electrode reactions.

Herein, we present a facile one-step synthesis for hierarchical polyelemental nanoparticles (HPNPs) as an efficient bifunctional catalyst toward OER and ORR. We use a rapid, high-temperature pulse to instantly decompose a collection of metal precursors, containing 10 atom% precious metals (Pt and Ir) and 90 atom% transition metals (Fe, Co, and Ni), which subsequently solidify into polyelemental nanoparticles (Figure 1). Through the high-temperature treatment for 0.5 s in air, the transition metals form spinel-type transition metal oxide nanoparticles (FeCoNiO), while the precious metals form ultrasmall nanoparticles (IrPt, ~5 nm in diameter) that are strongly anchored to the surface of the transition metal oxide nanoparticles, forming a hierarchical structure. This hierarchical nanostructure lowers the usage of precious metal, generates a large electroactive surface area, and stabilizes the IrPt alloy during the oxygen electrode reactions. Compared to other synthesis methods of metal-metal oxide materials with hierarchical structure, including chemical reduction, solvothermal processes and sputtering methods, the metal and metal oxide are simultaneously synthesized in a one-step process in a manner that is ultrafast, solvent/reductant-free, and easy to control. Different from previously reported multimetallic nanoparticles synthesized via thermal shock, which feature homogeneously mixed alloys, the hierarchical structure demonstrated herein maximizes the electroactive surface area of the precious metal catalyst as well as the transition metal oxide nanoparticles for optimal OER and ORR bifunctional catalytic activity and nanocatalyst stability.

2. Results and Discussion

The synthesis begins by drop-casting metal precursors (nitrate and chloride salts) on a carbon substrate (carbonized wood, Figure S1, Supporting Information), featuring 10 atom% precious metals (Pt and Ir) and 90 atom% transition metals (Fe, Co, and Ni). The salt precursors have irregular shape and size and were randomly dispersed on the carbon substrate, as shown in the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image in Figure 2a. A current pulse (3 A) is briefly applied for just 0.5 s through the precursor-loaded substrate in air to create a thermal pulse by Joule heating. The high-temperature treatment is indicated by a flash of light produced by the carbon substrate, which we used to calculate the temperature (≈1200 K) according to the blackbody radiation (Figure S2, Supporting Information). The metal salts decomposed rapidly in one step and became nanoparticles uniformly distributed on the substrate (Figure 2b). The polyelemental nature of the uniformly distributed nanoparticles is indicated by the uniform energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental maps of Pt, Ir, Fe, Co, and Ni in Figure S3 in the Supporting Information. The mass content of the nanoparticles on the carbon substrate was ≈12.0 wt% (Figure S4, Supporting Information), corresponding to a mass loading of 4.7 mg cm⁻².

We demonstrate the hierarchical structure of the nanoparticles by scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM), which shows ultrasmall nanoparticles dispersed on relatively larger nanoparticles (Figure 2c). From the high-angle dark-field (HAADF) STEM image in Figure 2d, we can see small particles with stronger contrast are anchored on larger nanoparticles with weaker contrast, and the corresponding EDS elemental maps (Figure 2d) clearly show that the smaller nanoparticles consist of Ir and Pt, and the larger nanoparticles consist of Fe, Co, Ni, and O. Thus, the large nanoparticles are composed of a transition metal oxide made of Fe, Co, and Ni (denoted as FeCoNiOₓ) and the smaller nanoparticles are made of Ir and Pt (denoted as IrPt). Particle size analysis from SEM and STEM images shows that the average diameter of the FeCoNiOₓ nanoparticles was 44.4 ± 13.6 nm while that of the ultrasmall IrPt nanoparticles was only 4.7 ± 1.8 nm (Figure 2e). Therefore, a hierarchical polyelemental nanostructure with ultrasmall IrPt nanoparticles anchored on FeCoNiOₓ nanoparticles (denoted as FeCoNiOₓ@IrPt) was obtained. This structure should result in a large electroactive area on the FeCoNiOₓ nanoparticle substrate, which is beneficial to catalytic activity.

We also performed high-resolution STEM to determine the crystal structure of the FeCoNiOₓ@IrPt HPNPs. As shown in Figure 2f, the lattice spacing of the substrate nanoparticles was 0.481 nm, which corresponds to d₁₁₁ of the cubic spinel structure of transition metal oxides. The lattice fringes on the ultrasmall particles are ascribed to the (101) and (100) planes of P6₃ (M = Fe, Co, Ni), indicating a thin layer of PtM is formed on the spinel FeCoNiOₓ. In addition, as shown in Figure 2g, the (111) planes were identified in the small IrPt nanoparticles. Thus, the IrPt nanoparticles are anchored on spinel-type FeCoNiOₓ nanoparticles through Pt-M intermetallic bonding at the interface. The strong covalent bond between the two kinds of nanoparticles prevents the detachment and agglomeration of the IrPt nanoparticles.
nanoparticles, which should enhance the structural stability of the nanocatalyst during electrochemical measurement.

According to the Ellingham diagram (Figure 2h), the free energy of formation ($\Delta G$) of the transition metal oxides is more negative than that of the Ir and Pt noble metals at \( \approx 1200 \) K, indicating that the transition metals are more inclined to form oxides in air atmosphere. Thus the transition metals (Fe, Co, and Ni) derived from the nitrate salt precursors were easily oxidized, whereas the Ir and Pt remained metallic as the high-temperature treatment was performed in air. To understand the formation of the hierarchical nanostructure, we synthesized FeCoNiO\(_x\), Ir, and Pt nanoparticles using the same method. These simpler nanoparticles show a size distribution consistent with the polyelemental composite nanoparticles, in which the FeCoNiO\(_x\) nanoparticles (47.8 ± 12.4 nm) are much larger compared to Ir and Pt (4.9 ± 1.3 nm and 1.9 ± 0.4 nm; Figures S5–S7, Supporting Information). As shown in Figure S8 in the Supporting Information, the vapor pressures of Fe, Co, and Ni are orders of magnitude higher than that of Ir and Pt. Thus the transition metals deposit slower during cooling after the high-temperature pulse and form larger nanoparticles than the precious metals. Entropy-driving force will facilitate the formation of the transition metal oxide mixture and IrPt alloy, respectively.[33] Thus, through this one-step synthesis, hierarchical polyelemental nanoparticles featuring different nanoparticle sizes were fabricated.

We performed X-ray diffraction (XRD) to further understand the crystal structure of the FeCoNiO\(_x\)@IrPt HPNPs.
As shown in Figure 3a, the peaks at 35.4°, 36.7°, 42.7°, 56.9°, and 62.0° are in agreement with the characteristic diffraction peaks of CoFe$_2$O$_4$, NiFe$_2$O$_4$, and CoO, which reveal the well-mixed spinel-type transition metal oxides of the FeCoNiO$_x$ nanoparticles. The obvious peaks at 41.4° and 47.6°, indicated by the blue-filled circles in Figure 3a, among a few other relatively weaker diffraction peaks are ascribed to the alloy of PtM, in good agreement with the STEM results. These crystal structures of PtM demonstrate the metallic bonds between M and Pt, which strongly anchor the IrPt nanoparticles on the FeCoNiO$_x$ substrate. Raman spectroscopy was further applied to verify the lattice vibration from the transition metal oxide. As indicated in Figure 3b, the characteristic Raman peaks of FeO ($A_{1g}$ at 323.3 cm$^{-1}$), CoO ($E_g$ at 479.1 cm$^{-1}$, $F_{2g}$ at 622.5 cm$^{-1}$, and $A_{1g}$ at 691.6 cm$^{-1}$), Co$_3$O$_4$ ($A_{1g}$ at $\approx$661.1 cm$^{-1}$) and NiO (one-photon vibration at $\approx$567.6 cm$^{-1}$) further indicate the formation of mixed transition metal oxides.
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*Figure 3. a) XRD pattern of (top) FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt HPNPs. The standard XRD patterns of (middle) PtM and (bottom) transition metal oxide are derived from ICDD PDF card. b) Raman spectrum of the FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt HPNPs. c–h) XPS spectra of c) Ir, d) Pt, e) Fe, f) Co, g) Ni, and h) O in the FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt HPNPs.*
We characterized the surface chemical states of the FeCo-NiO$_x$@IrPt HPNPs by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to further investigate the relationship between structure and electrochemical property. According to the XPS spectra, the Ir and Pt of the smaller nanoparticles are elemental metals (Figure 3c,d), which is consistent with their intrinsic inertness. In contrast, the transition metals show mixed valences in the polyelemental nanoparticles. As shown in Figure 3e, Fe showed a broad 2p$_{3/2}$ peak with a binding energy at $\approx$710.5 eV, which can be attributed to a mixed state of FeO (710.2 eV) and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (712.6 eV). Co primarily exists as CoO (2p$_{3/2}$ peak at 780.5 eV) and a small portion of Co$_2$O$_3$ (783.2 eV), together with a satellite peak at 786.7 eV (Figure 3f). Similarly, Ni exists mainly as NiO with a 2p$_{3/2}$ peak at 855.4 eV, along with a rather small fraction of Ni$_2$O$_3$ at 858.0 eV (Figure 3g). In addition, the O 1s peak at 529.2 eV (Figure 3h) originates from the transition metal oxide. This well-mixed FeCoNiO$_x$ containing different oxidization states ($\text{Fe}^{2+}$, $\text{Fe}^{3+}$, $\text{Co}^{2+}$, $\text{Co}^{3+}$, $\text{Ni}^{2+}$, and $\text{Ni}^{3+}$) will form a large amount of defects at the surface and change the electronic structure of the metal oxides, which should benefit the oxygen catalysis activity. Furthermore, compared to single-element transition metal oxides, polyelemental transition metal oxides with mixed valences could further balance the adsorption and desorption energy between the intermediate oxygen and catalyst site, significantly decreasing the ORR and OER overpotentials.

The polyelemental constituents of the HPNPs make them ideal catalysts for various electrocatalysis system. In particular, we were interested in demonstrating the bifunctional catalytic performances of the FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt HPNPs for OER and ORR using the same electrode. To investigate the ORR and OER electrocatalytic activities, the synthesized HPNP catalysts were employed as the working electrode in 1 M KOH aqueous solution for both OER and ORR experiments. The carbon substrate of the polyelemental nanoparticles was used as a binder-free electrode substrate, which exhibited negligible OER activity by itself (Figure S9, Supporting Information). For comparison, FeCoNiO$_x$ nanoparticles (≈478 nm in diameter) and Ir nanoparticles (≈4.9 nm) synthesized using the same method were used as controls (Figures S5 and S6, Supporting Information).

As shown by the polarization curve obtained from linear sweep voltammetry (LSV, Figure 4a), the FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt HPNP catalyst displays remarkably enhanced OER activity compared with the FeCoNiO$_x$ and Ir nanoparticles. The FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt nanocatalyst shows a small overpotential of 240 mV at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, which is much smaller than that of the FeCoNiO$_x$ (320 mV), Ir nanoparticles (280 mV), FeCoNiO$_x$@Pt (300 mV), and FeCoNiO$_x$@Ir (280 mV) prepared by the same method (Figure S11, Supporting Information). Containing only 5% of precious Ir in the polyelemental nanocatalyst, the overall mass activity for OER of FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt was significantly improved compared to the transition metal oxide (FeCoNiO$_x$) and even the pure Ir catalyst (Figure S10, Supporting Information). The Tafel slope of FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt (34 mV dec$^{-1}$) was also better than that of FeCoNiO$_x$ (83 mV dec$^{-1}$), approximating that of the pure Ir nanoparticles (59 mV dec$^{-1}$) (Figure 4b), which indicates the good OER kinetics of the polyelemental catalyst. Compared with other metal oxides, the FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt HPNP catalyst exhibits outstanding OER catalytic performance in terms of the small overpotential and low Tafel slope (Table S1, Figure 4).

![Figure 4.](image-url) a) OER LSV curves and b) corresponding Tafel plots of FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt, FeCoNiO$_x$, and Ir. c) OER chronoamperometry of FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt and Ir at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 50 h. d) The LSV curves of FeCoNiO$_x$@IrPt before and after accelerated CV measurement at 50 mV s$^{-1}$ for 2000 cycles.
Supporting Information), demonstrating the advantage of the hierarchical and polyelemental nanostructure.

We further examined the catalytic stability of the HPNP nanocatalyst by OER chronopotentiometry. The hierarchical FeCoNiO₄@IrPt shows a stable performance over 50 h at 10 mA cm⁻², with only a slight overpotential increase of 35 mV (Figure 4c), and a stable OER performance at high current densities up to 80 mA cm⁻² for potential commercial applications (Figure S12, Supporting Information). In contrast, the OER overpotential of the Ir catalyst increased dramatically at 10 mA cm⁻² after 20 h (Figure 4c). In addition, accelerated cyclic voltammetry (CV) was also employed to evaluate the stability of catalyst. After 2000 cycles at 50 mV s⁻¹, the LSV curve of FeCoNiO₄@IrPt shows minimal change from the initial LSV curve (Figure 4d), much more stable than the pure Ir (Figure S13, Supporting Information), indicating an excellent stability of the HPNPs for OER. However, the catalytic activity of Ir degenerated significantly under the same testing condition (Figure S13, Supporting Information). The morphology and particle size of the FeCoNiO₄@IrPt nanocatalyst also remained unchanged after the 50 h durability test, without particle agglomeration or detachment (Figure S14, Supporting Information), confirming the structural stability of the nanocatalyst over the long-term reaction. With IrPt content increased to 25% (atomic ratio, Ir:Pt = 1:1), the FeCoNiO₄@IrPt shows slightly lowered OER activity but maintains superb catalytic stability (Figure S15, Supporting Information).

To understand the high stability of polyelemental FeCoNiO₄@IrPt, XPS was conducted on FeCoNiO₄@IrPt after OER stability test (accelerated CV). According to the XPS of Ir after OER (Figure S16, Supporting Information), the Ir was oxidized to IrOₓ on the surface of the HPNPs, which is the active site for OER in consistency with the previous literature.[44,45] Pt was also oxidized to high valences under high potential, indicated by the XPS in Figure S17 in the Supporting Information. The in situ formation of IrOₓ and mixed oxide of IrPtOₓ suppress the severe dissolution of Ir in alkaline solution and enhance the stability of FeCoNiO₄@IrPt.[46,47] Pt also oxidized to high valences under high potential, indicated by the XPS in Figure S17 in the Supporting Information. The in situ formation of IrOₓ and mixed oxide of IrPtOₓ suppress the severe dissolution of Ir in alkaline solution and enhance the stability of FeCoNiO₄@IrPt.[46,47] In addition, the unique hierarchical nanostructure endows strong bonding between the FeCoNiO₄ nanoparticles and ultrasmall IrPt nanoparticles, with Pt-M intermetallic bonding for structural stability.

As a bifunctional oxygen electrocatalyst, we examined the performance of FeCoNiO₄@IrPt towards ORR by introducing saturated O₂ into the KOH electrolyte. FeCoNiO₄ and pure Pt nanoparticles were synthesized using the same method (Figure S7, Supporting Information) for controls. The LSV curve of the FeCoNiO₄@IrPt electrode (Figure 5a, red line) shows an onset potential of ORR at 0.93 V (vs RHE) and a half-wave potential (E₁/₂) at 0.83 V (vs RHE), both of which outperform FeCoNiO₄. The Tafel slope of the FeCoNiO₄@IrPt HPNPs is 44 mV dec⁻¹, smaller than that of FeCoNiO₄ (52 mV dec⁻¹) and even less than that of Pt (63 mV dec⁻¹) (Figure 5b; Figure S18, Supporting Information), further confirming the fast catalytic ORR reaction kinetics of the polyelemental catalyst. Furthermore, as a bifunctional catalyst, FeCoNiO₄@IrPt shows high ORR catalytic activity when comparing its E₁/₂ and Tafel slope with recently reported metal oxide catalysts for ORR (Table S2, Supporting Information).[48,49] Additionally, the E₁/₂ of the HPNP catalyst only shifted negatively by ~12 mV after 3000 cycles of accelerated cyclic voltammetry (CV) (conducted at 50 mV s⁻¹, Figure S19, Supporting Information), compared to the initial LSV curve, demonstrating the stability of FeCoNiO₄@IrPt over long-term ORR operation.

As discussed above, the HPNPs with ultrasmall IrPt nanoparticles at the surface increases the electroactive surface area of the catalyst. To further demonstrate this point, we measured the capacitance of FeCoNiO₄@IrPt to evaluate the electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) of the hierarchical nanoparticles for ORR. Based on the current density at different scan rates, the calculated double layer capacitance was 42.9 mF cm⁻² (Figure S20, Supporting Information), indicating a large ECSA in the HPNP catalyst, producing abundant active sites for the electrocatalytic process. To determine the efficiency of the ORR reaction, we calculated the electron transfer number (n) according to the Roughtey–Levich equation based on the LSV curves at different electrode rotating speeds (Figure 4c).[50] The calculated n is ~4.0, as shown in Figure 4d. Thus a nearly 4-electron reduction process of oxygen to water is obtained, which is critical for high efficiency in ORR. The full reaction of the ORR and OER performance of FeCoNiO₄@IrPt is displayed in Figure S21 in the Supporting Information as proof of its efficiency as a bifunctional oxygen catalyst.

The high activity of the bifunctional polyelemental catalyst is further shown in Figure 5e, in which we compared the mass activity of FeCoNiO₄@IrPt HPNPs (normalized to Ir or Pt) to that of pure Ir and Pt nanocatalysts in the OER and ORR, respectively. The OER mass activity of FeCoNiO₄@IrPt is ~187 A g⁻¹ Ir, which is a 28-times improvement compared to that of the pure Ir nanocatalyst (6.6 A g⁻¹), which is generally considered the state-of-the-art catalyst for OER. Moreover, the OER mass activity of FeCoNiO₄@IrPt is ~7.27 A g⁻¹ Pt, which is ~7-times the mass activity of the pure Pt nanocatalyst (1.08 A g⁻¹). The high mass activity of the FeCoNiO₄@IrPt nanocatalysts reduces the use of precious metals while markedly promoting the bifunctional catalytic activity.

To understand the mechanism of the improved catalytic performance of the HPNP nanocatalyst, we conducted density functional theory (DFT) calculations in the ORR reactions catalyzed by FeNiCoO₄ and FeNiCoO₄@IrPt. The calculated energy diagrams of the ORR at 1.23 V for the different metal sites on two different structures (FeCoNiO₄ and FeCoNiO₄@IrPt) are calculated following the suggested reaction pathways (see detailed information in Experimental section). The limiting reaction barrier, which is determined from the free energy of the rate-determining step (RDS), is used to evaluate the catalytic activity. For the FeCoNiO₄ structure (Figure S22, Supporting Information), the limiting barriers of Fe, Co, Ni are 0.66, 1.24, and 0.61 eV, respectively. For the FeCoNiO₄@IrPt hierarchical polyelemental structure (Figure 5f), the limiting barriers of Fe, Co, Ni decrease to 0.62, 0.95, and 0.60 eV, respectively, and the Pt has a lower barrier of 0.49 eV. The lower limiting barrier for ORR indicates that the synergetic effect between FeCoNiO₄ and IrPt reduces the limiting reaction barrier of RDS on active sites and leads to the improved OER and ORR catalytic performance of FeCoNiO₄@IrPt. The bifunctional polyelemental catalyst with small overpotentials of OER and ORR will improve the energy efficiency of energy conversion and storage, particularly for metal–air batteries.
3. Conclusion

We have presented a facile approach for the synthesis of hierarchical polyelemental bifunctional catalysts for efficient oxygen evolution and reduction reactions. Through a one-step synthesis, ultrasmall IrPt alloy nanoparticles were anchored on mixed spinel-type FeCoNiO$_x$ nanoparticles to produce a unique hierarchical catalyst. The nanocatalysts, which feature multiple active elements, mixed chemical states, and high electroactive surface area, exhibit synergistic and balanced adsorption/desorption properties that make them efficient bifunctional catalysts. These bifunctional nanocatalysts exhibit a small OER overpotential (240 mV) at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ and an ORR half-wave potential at 0.83 V (vs RHE). The mass activity of the polyelemental nanocatalyst (normalized to Ir or Pt) was 28-times compared to Ir in OER and 7-times compared to Pt in ORR under the same overpotentials. The high-temperature shock also enables Pt-metal bonding in the hierarchical nanoparticles, which provides a stable structure for durable electrocatalysis. This efficient and durable bifunctional oxygen electrocatalyst could greatly improve the energy efficiency of metal–air batteries and offer potential use in water electrolysis, fuel cells, and more. This hierarchical and polyelemental nanoparticle design opens a door for the discovery of other polyelemental nanomaterials for multifunctional catalysis featuring both long lifetime and cost efficiency.
4. Experimental Section

Preparation of the Carbon Substrate: The carbon substrate was made of carbonized wood. To make this support, basswood from Walnut Hollow Company was cut perpendicular to the tree growth direction into slices with thicknesses of ~0.5 cm. The wood slices were first treated in air flow at 260 °C for 6 h, then annealed at 1000 °C in argon flow for another 6 h. The carbonized wood was further activated under CO2 at 750 °C for 3 h, with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹.

Fabrication of Electrocatalysts on Carbon Support: A mixed solution of IrCl3, H2PtCl6, Fe(NO3)3, Co(NO3)2, and Ni(NO3)2 with a total metal ion concentration of 0.05 mol L⁻¹ (metal ion ratio 5:5:30:30:30) in ethanol was prepared first. All the metal salts were used directly as purchased from Sigma-Aldrich with a purity of 99.9%. Both ends of the carbonized substrate were fixed on two copper foils by silver paste to gain good electrical contact. Then the carbon substrate was uniformly covered with the metal salt precursor solution by gradually dropping a total of 150 μL of the mixture onto it, followed by drying at 60 °C in an oven overnight. A direct electric pulse from a Keithley 2425 SourceMeter was applied to the carbon support in air. Generally, a high current pulse (3 A, 500 ms) was used. After cooling down to room temperature, the carbon support was cut from the copper electrodes for electrocatalytic testing and other characterization. HPNP with a different metal ion ratio (12.5:12.5:25:25:25) was synthesized using the same method. Control catalysts (FeCoNiO₃@Ir, FeCoNiO₃@Pt, FeCoNiO₃, Ir, and Pt) were fabricated using the same method but with different precursor solutions. Specifically, all precursor solutions were 0.05 mol L⁻¹ and the metal ion ratio in the precursor solution for the synthesis of FeCoNiO₃@Ir, FeCoNiO₃@Pt and FeCoNiO₃ was 30:30:30:10, 30:30:30:10, and 1:1:1, respectively.

Electrocatalysis Measurements: The electrochemistry testing was carried out on a three-electrode setup connected to an electrochemical workstation (VMP3/Z bio-logic system). An Ag/AgCl electrode and graphite rod served as the reference and counter electrode, respectively. Freshly prepared 1 m KOH was used as electrolyte for the ORR and OER measurements. The carbon support with nanocatalysts was used as the free-standing working electrode without binder.

For OER testing, the activation process was conducted from −0.2 to 0.5 V. LSV curves were recorded from 0 to 1.0 V versus the Ag/AgCl electrode at a scanning rate of 1 mV s⁻¹. Chronoamperometry at 10 mA cm⁻² was applied to test the stability of the catalyst. Accelerated CVs of 500 cycles were conducted from 0 to 0.55 V versus the Ag/AgCl electrode.

For the ORR experiments, RDE (5 mm diameter) was used for loading catalyst to exclude the influence of the non-planer carbon substrate. Generally, 6 mg catalyst supported on carbon substrate was firstly grinded and mixed with 750 μL isopropanol and 50 μL Naion solution to make a mixed ink. Then 12 μL ink was drop-cast on the RDE surface to yield a HPNP catalyst loading of 55 μg cm⁻². A 40-cycle CV activation was first conducted from 0.2 to −0.2 V versus Ag/AgCl electrode at a scanning rate of 20 mV s⁻¹ in Ar-saturated electrolyte. LSV curves were then recorded from 0 to −0.8 V versus Ag/AgCl electrode at a scanning rate of 1 mV s⁻¹ with controlled rotating speeds of 800, 1200, 1600, 2000, and 2400 rpm in O₂-saturated electrolyte. The electron transfer number (n) was calculated from Koutecky–Levich plot, which follows the equation

$$j = j_0 + j_1 = B^{-1} \times \omega^{0.5} + j_1$$

where $n = 0.209 F C D_{ECS}^2 v^{-0.5}$, n is the electron number related to O₂ reduction, j is the measured overall current density, j is the kinetically limited current density, $\omega$ is the rotating speed in unit of rpm, F is the Faraday constant of 96 485 C, $C_D$ and $D_0$ is the O₂ concentration and diffusion coefficient in electrolyte (1.2 × 10⁻⁹ mol cm⁻³, 1.9 × 10⁻⁵ cm² s⁻¹), respectively, $v$ is the viscosity of electrolyte (10⁻² cm² s⁻¹).

The method of double layer capacitance was used to evaluate the ECSA, which follows the equation of $A = C_{dl}/C_{ideal}$, where $C_{ideal}$ is the ideal catalyst double-layer capacitance and $C_{dl}$ is the double-layer capacitance of measured catalyst. For measurement of the double layer capacitance, CV cycles under varied scanning rates of 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, and 50 mV s⁻¹ were captured from 0 to −0.1 V versus the Ag/AgCl electrode with a rotating speed of 1600 rpm. Based on the equation of $i_C = C_{dl} \times \nu$, where $\nu$ is the scanning rate and $i_C$ is the current density, $C_{dl}$ could be obtained from the slope of $i_C = \nu$ curve.

After 3000 cycles of accelerated CV from 0 to −0.4 V versus the Ag/AgCl electrode with a scanning rate of 50 mV s⁻¹, the LSV curve was captured to evaluate the stability of the catalyst.

All potentials were compensated by 85% IR to correct for the Ohmic drop of the solution, unless otherwise specified. The Ohmic resistance of 1 m KOH was about 6.7 Ω, which was obtained from electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) with frequency from 100 kHz to 1 Hz at open circuit potential. The potential versus Ag/AgCl was converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE), calculated from the following equation

$$E_{RHE} = E_{Ag/AgCl} + E^{0}_{Ag/AgCl} + 0.059\, \text{pH}$$

in which $E^{0}_{Ag/AgCl}$ versus RHE is 0.199 V at 25 °C.

Density Functional Theory (DFT) Calculation: All the spin-polarized density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP). The projector-augmented wave (PAW) method and Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional was used. The Kohn–Sham wave functions were expanded in a plane wave basis set with a cutoff energy of 400 eV. The Brillouin zone was sampled by the 3 × 3 × 1 Monkhorst–Pack k-point mesh. All atoms were allowed to relax until the forces fell below 0.03 eV Å⁻¹. To compare the electrochemical catalysis of FeCoNiO₃ and FeCoNiO₄ with IrPt nanoparticle, two atomic structures (56-atom FeCoNiO₃ atomic structure and a 56-atom of FeCoNiO₄ atomic structure with a 6-atom IrPt nanoparticle on it) were built. A vacuum region of 16 Å was created to ensure negligible interaction between mirror images.

The OER occurs via the following steps:

$$M + H_2O(\text{H}) \rightarrow MOH + H^+ + e^-$$

$$MOH \rightarrow MO + H^+ + e^-$$

$$MO + H_2O(\text{H}) \rightarrow MOOH + H^+ + e^-$$

$$MOOH \rightarrow M + O_2(\text{g}) + 2H^+ + 2e^-$$

The ORR occurs via the following steps:

$$M + O_2(\text{g}) + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow MOOH$$

$$MOOH + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow MO + H_2O$$

$$MO + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow MOH$$

$$MOH + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow M + H_2O$$

where M represents the preferable adsorption site for intermediates. For each step, the reaction free energy $\Delta G$ is defined as the difference between free energies of the initial and final states as calculated by the expression:

$$\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta ZPE - T\Delta S + \Delta G_U + \Delta G_{US}$$

where $\Delta E$ is the total energy difference between reactants and products of reactions, $\Delta ZPE$ is the zero-point energy correction, $\Delta S$ is the vibrational entropy change at finite temperature $T$, $\Delta G_U = -eU$, where
e is the elementary charge, U is the electrode potential, $\Delta G_{\text{ph}}$ is the correction of the H$_2$ free energy.

Characterization: SEM was conducted at 10 kV on a Hitachi SU-70 with EDS analysis at 15 kV. Temperature measurement of the carbonized wood during the thermal shock was estimated according to black-body radiation using a high-speed camera (Vision Research Phantom Miro M110) with a video recording speed of 3000 frames per second.[26] STEM-EDX elemental maps were acquired with a Thermo-Fisher Talos F200X. High-resolution HAADF-STEM images were obtained using a Hitachi HD2700C equipped with a probe aberration corrector and cold field-emission gun. Raman spectroscopy was captured on a Jobin Yvon LabRam ARAMIS system with a laser wavelength of 532 nm. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) for measurement of catalyst mass loading was performed on a Discovery SDT 650 thermal analyzer by TA Instruments. The size distribution of the nanoparticles was analyzed by ImageJ.
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